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Abstract  
Land use and land cover (LULC) changes induced by human or natural processes drive biogeochemistry of the Earth influencing the climate at global as well as regional scales. Drastic changes in the land cover with the decline in vegetation and water bodies due to anthropogenic activities enhances the heat emission from land surface and atmospheric temperatures increased land surface temperature (LST) is mainly due to increase in concentrated human activities, paved land cover or barren lands. Due to complexity of landscapes the sampling was difficult to derive LST and environmental response relationships. Temporal data acquired through space borne remote sensing devices has bridged the gap of temporal data for the entire earth surface. The current study explores the relation between surface biophysical parameters to sub-pixel thermal variations. The thermal infrared bands of remote sensing data help to retrieve LST, which are supplemented by ground based measurements. Analysis of LST with LULC indicates a negative correlation between vegetation indices and LST. The general trend in the ambient temperature of Uttara Kannada over the 31 year period was established. It clarifies that there was a fundamental drift of temperature rise in recent years, especially during the last decade.  
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Introduction  
Land use land cover (LULC) information is essential for managing natural resources and monitoring of environmental changes. Exchanges of energy take place between the biophysical properties of the Earth (ex: vegetation) with the atmosphere. These exchanges are influenced by properties of the land, such as the overlying vegetation, the underlying soils and land management practices. Changes in these systems show adverse effect on environment by surface energy imbalance and through biogeochemical interactions that affect the carbon cycle [1]. Thus LULC changes altering the local climate are the drivers for global climate change. Increasing concentrations of greenhouse gases due to the natural and anthropogenic factors alters temperature trends [2,3]. Landscape dynamics involving LULC changes have contributed to the increase in land surface temperature (LST). The different land use types indicate the variability with different land surface temperatures (LST) [4,5]. The increasing evidence provides a base that non radiative forces such as LULC change also be major factors contributing to climate change. The climate is altered due to changes in Land use and land cover. There has been an increase in surface temperature due to alterations and conversions of vegetated surfaces to impervious surfaces [6]. These changes affect the absorption of solar radiation, surface temperature, evaporation rates, storage of heat, wind turbulence and can drastically alter the conditions of the near-surface atmosphere. The clouds, land use, land surface temperature, exchanges of energy and moisture are referred to be the key indicators considered to explain the global climate change, which vary rapidly in time and space. These parameters will drive in precise measures of radiation budget, heat balance for climate models [7]. Deforestation has been the major driver in tropics [8] and subtropics [9]. The alteration of landscapes, primarily the conversion of forests to agriculture or pasture, changes the partitioning of solar insulation into its sensible and latent turbulent heat forms. The intensified LULC changes induces prolonged drought, which can trigger large-scale landscape changes through vegetation mortality from water stress [10] and land surface conditions for decades [11,12]. The mortality of over story trees will rapidly alter ecosystem type and associated ecosystem properties at a regional-scale.

Thus, to mitigate the impacts of changes in climate, it is essential to monitor LULC changes at appropriate scales. The investigation of environmental energy flows by thermal infrared measures of surface temperatures has been in practice since 1960’s [13]. A higher level of latent heat exchange was found with more vegetated areas, while sensible heat exchange was more favored by sparsely vegetated areas. This evidence on latent heat exchange encouraged many researchers to focus on deriving LST and LULC relationship [14]. Thermal infrared (TIR) remote sensing techniques for analyzing land surface temperature (LST) patterns and its relationship with surface characteristics, assessing urban heat island (UHI), and relating LSTs with surface energy fluxes to characterize landscape properties, patterns, and processes have been well applied in urban climate and environmental studies [4–17]. However, there is limited research in complicated landscapes due to surface heterogeneity and characteristic of forests, owing to difficulties associated with sampling and quantifications. Also, there are gaps in the quantitative measures of the surface temperature heterogeneity at scales which can be recognized on the ground. The LST measures with multi temporal data at micro scale is also not addressed. The availability of remote sensing data acquired through space borne satellites (RS) and Geographic Information System (GIS) aid in the analysis of spatio-temporal dynamics of the Earth's features which help in managing natural resources and assessment of environmental changes [18]. Remote sensing through thermal scanning of entire surface types (plants and soils), simultaneously, express their temperature responses comparable to the atmospheric and radiant inputs [19,20]. An Earth surface feature emits thermal radiation at different wavelengths depending on their emissivity (ε). Emissivity is defined as the ratio of the spectral radiant emittance of a grey body to that emitted by a blackbody at the same temperature [21]. Accurate land surface emissivity values aid in reliable inferences among different land covers for retrieving LST from thermal infrared (TIR) data [6,22,23]. Land surface temperature and emissivity
are prime variables to determine the amount of thermal infrared energy radiated from the Earth’s surface according to Planck’s law. These variables will provide information of many different types of Earth surface processes, surface-atmosphere interactions and evapotranspiration [24].

Estimation of LST using emissivity will provide more accurate estimation with appropriate calibration of atmospheric contamination by a separation of surface emissivity and temperature from radiance at ground level and atmospheric corrections [25,26]. Earlier studies have highlighted the advantage of using TM and ETM thermal band data of Landsat sensors for deriving temperature [6,27,28]. Emissivity and LST were found to be well correlated to the measured LST [29,30]. LST derivation from the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) is used to derive the negative correlation between for LST downscaling purposes [31]. The NDVI cannot explain all the variation in LST and the vegetation fraction from ETM+ images is provided a stronger negative correlation than the one between LST & NDVI [29,32]. Central Western Ghats has been experiencing large scale land use changes due to many unplanned developmental activities evident from barren hilltops, conversion of perennial streams to seasonal streams, decreased flow in streams, etc. The objective of the current study is to understand LULC changes with temperature trends using multi-resolution remote sensing data. This involves,

a) Measuring the relationship between surface biophysical parameters (land use/land cover and sub-pixel thermal variations (Land Surface Temperature).

b) Determine the role land use dynamics in temperature changes through time and space.

Materials and Method

Study area

Uttara Kannada district lies between $13^\circ55'$ to $15^\circ31'$ N and $74^\circ9'$ to $75^\circ10'$ E spread over an area of 10,293 km2 in the mid-western part of Karnataka state, India (Figure 1). It is a region of gentle undulating hills, rising steeply from a narrow coastal strip bordering the Arabian Sea to a plateau at an altitude of 500 m with occasional hills rising above 600–860 m [33]. The district has 11 taluks covering three different zones i.e. coastal lands (Karwar, Ankola, Kumta, Honnavar and Bhatkal taluks), Sahyadrian interior (Sapa, Yellapur, Sirsi and Siddapur taluks) and the eastern margin plains (Haliyal, Yellapur and Mundgod taluks). Uttara Kannada District has unique distinction of having different agro climatic zones and soil types. Coastal alluvial, Laterite are major soil types. According to 2011 census the population of district is 14, 36, 847 and population density is 140 persons per sq. km. From early 80’s the region is started experiencing changes in land use due to the developmental activities. This conversion has occurred largely at the expense of forests and grassland [34]. Major Industrial Infrastructure of this region constitutes 8 Industrial Estates and 1 Industrial Area.

Method

Figure 1 outlines the procedure adopted in the current study. Data used in the study includes Landsat Thematic Mapper (TM) (March months of 1989, 1999), Landsat Enhanced Thematic Mapper plus (ETM+) (March 2009), and Google Earth [35]. The Survey of India topographic maps of 1:50,000 scales are used to generate base layers. The temporal remote sensing data of Landsat satellite were downloaded from the public domain [36] and geometrically corrected for the UTM coordinate system of zone 43 using GCPs (ground control points). Data are cloud free in March, transitional month from winter to summer. There are 3 India meteorological departments in the district which aided as validation stations apart from 80 locations of NOAA [37] which are well distributed and cover whole district. The field data were collected using pre-calibrated handheld Garmin GPS. The study region has vast expanse of forests, training data is collected for different forest types and other land use categories. The land cover analysis was done to assess the extent of area under vegetation, which is done through NDVI. Temporal normalised vegetation index (NDVI) is computed to assess the status of vegetation in the district. Among many indices, NDVI is most widely accepted for land cover analysis in the region dominated by vegetation cover [38,39]. NDVI is computed by using visible Red (0.63–0.69 μm) and NIR (0.76–0.90 μm) bands of Landsat TM. Healthy vegetation absorbs most of...
the visible light that hits it, and reflects a large portion of the near-infrared light. Sparse vegetation reflects more visible light and less near-infrared light. NDVI ranges from minus one (-1) to plus one (+1). NDVI was calculated using Eq. (1). NDVI values range from -1 to +1 and very low values of NDVI (-0.1 and below) correspond to soil or barren areas of rock, sand, or urban built up. Zero indicates water cover. Moderate values represent low density vegetation (0.1 to 0.3), while high values indicate thick canopied vegetation (0.6 to 0.8).

$$\text{NDVI} = \frac{(\text{NIR}-\text{R})}{(\text{NIR}+\text{R})}$$  

Land use analysis involves i) generation of False Colour Composite (FCC) of remote sensing data (bands – green, red and NIR). This helped in locating heterogeneous patches in the landscape ii) selection of training polygons (these correspond to heterogeneous patches in FCC covering 15% of the study area and uniformly distributed over the entire study area, iii) loading these training polygons co-ordinates into pre-calibrated GPS, iv) collection of the corresponding attribute data (land use types) for these polygons from the field. GPS helped in locating respective training polygons in the field, v) supplementing this information with Google Earth \([35]\) and Bhuvan \([40]\), vi) 60% of the training data has been used for classification, while the balance is used for validation or accuracy assessment.

Land use analysis was carried out using supervised pattern classifier - Gaussian Maximum Likelihood Classifier (GMLC) algorithm. Remote sensing data was classified using signatures from training sites that include predominant land use types. Mean and covariance matrix are computed using estimate of maximum likelihood estimator. This technique is proved to be a superior classifier as it uses various classification decisions using probability and cost functions \([41]\). GRASS (Geographical Analysis Support System) a free and open source software having robust support of processing both vector, raster files has been used for this analysis. GRASS is freely accessible and downloadable \([42]\). The images were maintained at common resolution of 30m by downscaling. Downscaling is the enhancement of the spatial resolution of the original LST data set using ancillary information layers of superior spatial resolution \([43,44]\). This approach will improve the spatial details of low resolution image and obtains the image with superior resolution \([26,45]\). The Google earth data combined with field data is used for classification and verification of post 2000 classified image. Historical data such as French institute vegetation map \([46]\), topographic maps were used to classify 1989, 1999 data sets. Spectral classification inaccuracies are measured by a set of reference pixels. Based on the reference pixels, confusion matrix, kappa (κ) statistics and producer’s and user’s accuracies were computed. The study is carried out at macro and micro scales, i.e. by considering the whole landscape as a single unit and agro-climatic zone wise analysis. The temperature estimation is done zone-wise to account agro-climatic variations.

**Derivation of LST from Landsat TM**

The LST values are derived in the three step process. First the image pixels of digital numbers are converted to surface radiance by including sensor specified calibration standard values. In step 2 the radiance values are again converted to equivalent range of black body temperatures. In third step the emissivity corrected temperature is derived with associated land cover type. The above specified procedure was applied separately for both sensors. The TIR band 6 of Landsat-5 TM was used to calculate the surface temperature of the area.

The digital number (DN) to radiance \(I_{\text{TIR}}\) conversion

$$I_{\text{TIR}} = 0.124+0.00563^*\text{DN}$$  

The radiance to equivalent blackbody temperature \(T_{\text{BBSurface}}\) at the satellite using

$$T_{\text{BBSurface}} = \frac{K_2}{(K_1-\ln(I_{\text{TIR}}))} - 273$$  

The coefficients \(K_1\) and \(K_2\) depend on the range of blackbody temperatures, for Landsat TM \(K_1 = 4.127\) and \(K_2 = 1274.7\). The additional correction for spectral emissivity (ε) is required to account for the non-uniform emissivity of the land surface. Emissivity correction is carried out using surface emissivities for the specified
LC (Table 1) derived from the methodology described in [6,21]. The emissivity corrected land surface temperature ($T_s$) was finally computed as follows [47].

$$T_s = \frac{T_s}{1+(\lambda x T_s/\rho) \ln \varepsilon} \quad (4)$$

where, $\lambda$ is the wavelength of emitted radiance for which the peak response and the average of the limiting wavelengths ($\lambda=11.5 \mu m$) were used, $\rho= h \times c/\sigma (1.438 \times 10^{-5} mK)$, $\sigma=$ Stefan Boltzmann’s constant ($5.67 \times 10^{-8} Wm^{-2} K^{-4}$), $c=$velocity of light ($2.998 \times 10^8 m/sec$), and $\varepsilon$ is spectral emissivity (Table 1).

**LST from Landsat ETM+**

The procedure follows same principle as done for Tm data. The TIR image Landsat ETM+ of (band 6) DN was first converted into spectral radiance $L_{ETM}$ using equation 5, and then converted to equivalent black body temperature, $T_{ETM Surface}$ under the assumption of uniform emissivity ($\varepsilon \approx 1$) using equation 6 [47,48].

$$L_{ETM} = 0.0370588*DN+3.2 \quad (5)$$

$$T_{ETM Surface} = \frac{K2}{\ln \left( \frac{K1}{L_{ETM}} + 1 \right)} \quad (6)$$

Where, $T_{ETM Surface}$ is the effective at-satellite temperature in Kelvin, $L_{ETM}$ is spectral radiance in watts/(meters squared × ster × μm). For Landsat-7 ETM+, $K_2=1282.71 K$ and $K_1=666.09 mWcm^{-2}sr^{-1}μm^{-1}$ were used. The emissivity corrected land surface temperatures $T_s$ were finally computed by equation 4.

**Results and Discussion**

Temporal land cover analysis was done through NDVI reveals the transition of vegetation from 96.13 % (1989) to 89.07 % (2009). The non-vegetated areas have increased by 10.93% (2009) from 3.87% (1989). The temporal variation of land cover is shown in Table 2 and Figure 3.

Temporal land use analysis depicts land scape status and its transition from 1989 to 2009. The results show the primeval evergreen forest cover is reduced from 57.65% (1989) to 39.78% (2009) due to anthropogenic activities. Built-up area has increased from 1.13% (1989) to 2.00% (2009). The intensified agriculture activities have contributed to the decline of forests. Table 3 and Figure 4 portray the transition of landscape during 1989 to 2009.

The increase in plantation of exotic species led to the removal of primeval forest cover. Intensified cultivation and cash crop activities also aggregated the change. The accuracy assessment was included in Table 4. The field data, vegetation map and Google earth data sets are used for analysing accuracy. It shows accuracy ranges as 84.53 % (1989), 92.22 % (1999) and 89.83 % (2009).

LST computed at landscape level for both Landsat TM and ETM thermal bands as explained earlier. The minimum and maximum temperature from Landsat TM data and ETM+ data are given in Table 5.

| Table 1: Surface emissivity values by land cover type. |
|---|---|---|
| S.no | Land cover | Emissivity |
| 1 | Built-up | 0.946 |
| 2 | Vegetation | 0.985 |
| 3 | Water body | 0.990 |
| 4 | Agriculture | 0.974 |
| 5 | Others | 0.950 |

| Table 2: Land cover analysis. |
|---|---|---|
| Year | % vegetation | % non-vegetation |
| 1989 | 96.13 | 3.87 |
| 1999 | 94.33 | 5.67 |
| 2009 | 89.07 | 10.93 |

Figure 3: Temporal land cover analysis.

Table 5. The corresponding temperatures for all data were converted to degree Celsius. Figure 5 shows the LST map of Uttara Kannada from 1989, 1999 and 2009. Variability can be attributed to diverse landscape and higher values in recent year to the decline of forests and other human induced activities. The higher temperature can be seen especially in plains due to higher proportion of barren land and in coastal region due to intensified built-up activities. The higher temperature can be attributed to the regions of higher deforestation and industries. The rise in temperature can be observed due to the increase in crop lands and plantation of exotic species. Table 7 shows increase of temperature from 29°C to 37°C.

Plains in eastern and north-western parts Uttara Kannada district comprises of extensively planted teak regions and scrub type at the border of Dharwad district. It is observed that the plains are more prone to anthropogenic activities due to the proximity to urban centers. The loss of evergreen forest cover shows deforestation pattern influence on LST (Figure 8), evident from steady rise in temperature from 36 to 42°C (Table 8).

The time series analysis of air temperature is produced based on monthly average of five year time period interval from 1981-2012. The temperatures are compiled from weather data of NOAA meteorological stations measurements in and around the study region. This four-decade period functions as a baseline for the analysis. It can be inferred from the generalised curve (Figure 9) that the development of the temperature averages runs in irregular rhythm of up and downswings. A trend-like increase in air temperature overlies the cyclic fluctuations of 5 year annual temperature averages since the post 2000s. The most significant rise in air temperatures commenced in 1995-2000, post 2001 the substantial raise in air temperature is observed. The monthly average temperature from 1981-2012 also clarifies this micro level change. The situation of cyclic and trend-
### Table 4: Accuracy assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP2</th>
<th>MAP 1</th>
<th>Built-up</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Crop land</th>
<th>Open land</th>
<th>Moist deciduous</th>
<th>Ever green to semi</th>
<th>Scrub</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Teak</th>
<th>Coco nut</th>
<th>Dry deciduous</th>
<th>Row sum</th>
<th>% Commission</th>
<th>% Omission</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>UA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built-up</td>
<td>110518</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4234</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>117852</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>23.11</td>
<td>93.78</td>
<td>76.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>183385</td>
<td>3747</td>
<td>1607</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>291</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>20631</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>213969</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>99.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>23989</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1267257</td>
<td>52420</td>
<td>97996</td>
<td>4407</td>
<td>128358</td>
<td>16069</td>
<td>25368</td>
<td>6269</td>
<td>18329</td>
<td>1686816</td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>74.68</td>
<td>93.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open land</td>
<td>3318</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>23260</td>
<td>304600</td>
<td>2486</td>
<td>1588</td>
<td>2353</td>
<td>44103</td>
<td>2680</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>387056</td>
<td>21.30</td>
<td>21.14</td>
<td>78.70</td>
<td>78.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist deciduous</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9410</td>
<td>3009</td>
<td>1008588</td>
<td>57697</td>
<td>19969</td>
<td>28545</td>
<td>52357</td>
<td>31852</td>
<td>46107</td>
<td>1258230</td>
<td>19.84</td>
<td>36.44</td>
<td>80.16</td>
<td>63.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever green to semi</td>
<td>1884</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>25031</td>
<td>17998</td>
<td>455344</td>
<td>5824268</td>
<td>17713</td>
<td>117922</td>
<td>42901</td>
<td>123220</td>
<td>6849</td>
<td>6633447</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>87.80</td>
<td>98.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrub</td>
<td>1219</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10465</td>
<td>3588</td>
<td>7741</td>
<td>7271</td>
<td>289700</td>
<td>4714</td>
<td>4530</td>
<td>15208</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>345406</td>
<td>16.13</td>
<td>40.85</td>
<td>83.87</td>
<td>59.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1724</td>
<td>1499</td>
<td>6824</td>
<td>6356</td>
<td>8338</td>
<td>381337</td>
<td>14926</td>
<td>4422</td>
<td>6313</td>
<td>432738</td>
<td>11.88</td>
<td>38.36</td>
<td>88.12</td>
<td>61.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teak</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>3033</td>
<td>3163</td>
<td>2703</td>
<td>3196</td>
<td>91881</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>2109</td>
<td>107054</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>61.25</td>
<td>85.81</td>
<td>38.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coco nut</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1705</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4520</td>
<td>3479</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>11393</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>124601</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>67.98</td>
<td>91.44</td>
<td>32.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry deciduous</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1287</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19155</td>
<td>1119</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>70171</td>
<td>93895</td>
<td>25.27</td>
<td>53.68</td>
<td>74.73</td>
<td>46.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Sum</td>
<td>143740</td>
<td>184448</td>
<td>1348271</td>
<td>386273</td>
<td>1588948</td>
<td>5908650</td>
<td>489750</td>
<td>618600</td>
<td>237038</td>
<td>355798</td>
<td>151488</td>
<td>11411064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall accuracy</td>
<td>84.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAP2</th>
<th>MAP 1</th>
<th>Built-up</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Crop land</th>
<th>Open land</th>
<th>Moist deciduous</th>
<th>Ever green to semi</th>
<th>Scrub</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Teak</th>
<th>Coco nut</th>
<th>Dry deciduous</th>
<th>Row sum</th>
<th>% Commission</th>
<th>% Omission</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>UA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Built-up</td>
<td>173333</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>974</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>174586</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>98.28</td>
<td>77.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>289130</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>290243</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>99.62</td>
<td>95.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop land</td>
<td>37396</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1733799</td>
<td>1725</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12436</td>
<td>14725</td>
<td>5676</td>
<td>3522</td>
<td>1809279</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td>87.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open land</td>
<td>4167</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>193784</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9124</td>
<td>3609</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>211593</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>91.58</td>
<td>93.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moist deciduous</td>
<td>3540</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1379</td>
<td>2034807</td>
<td>42455</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>119991</td>
<td>70035</td>
<td>18330</td>
<td>2046</td>
<td>2292573</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>88.76</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever green to semi</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>11243</td>
<td>176465</td>
<td>8075</td>
<td>46198</td>
<td>4667018</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5471</td>
<td>7768</td>
<td>9179</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>4933953</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>94.59</td>
<td>97.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrub</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10230</td>
<td>514664</td>
<td>20064</td>
<td>8208</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>557691</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>92.28</td>
<td>98.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>591806</td>
<td>13134</td>
<td>1083</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>607385</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>25.67</td>
<td>97.44</td>
<td>74.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teak</td>
<td>806</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30096</td>
<td>180830</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>214231</td>
<td>15.59</td>
<td>40.82</td>
<td>84.41</td>
<td>59.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coco nut</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>2297</td>
<td>80580</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>16645</td>
<td>50383</td>
<td>9649</td>
<td>3422</td>
<td>3662</td>
<td>106887</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>275293</td>
<td>61.17</td>
<td>26.25</td>
<td>38.83</td>
<td>73.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry deciduous</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3199</td>
<td>2354</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24165</td>
<td>29875</td>
<td>19.11</td>
<td>29.93</td>
<td>80.89</td>
<td>70.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Column Sum</td>
<td>223380</td>
<td>302670</td>
<td>1990844</td>
<td>206509</td>
<td>2097650</td>
<td>4770086</td>
<td>524327</td>
<td>796228</td>
<td>305852</td>
<td>144940</td>
<td>34486</td>
<td>11396702</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall accuracy</td>
<td>92.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kappa</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

like developments has resulted in the fact that the warming has not occurred steadily, but it is an abrupt rise due to land use changes. As outlook, the results obtained for the trend analysis have to be put in a general framework by applying the method to long-term correlations of temperature trends in order to substantiate these findings (Table 9).
Forests in the central Western Ghats are experiencing the transition due to many developmental projects including timber, mining, power generation, etc. This has resulted in the decline of primeval evergreen forest cover from 57.65% (1989) to 39.78% (2009), which has changed LST affecting local ecology.

### Conclusion

Land use changes leading to deforestation in central Western Ghats have not only altered the landscape structure but also its functioning evident from the enhanced land surface temperatures due to lack of microclimate moderation. The anthropogenic activities have altered the habitat and the impacts in the ecologically fragile region are long-term and non-reversible. Temporal land cover analysis is done through NDVI reveals the transition of vegetation from 96.13 (1989) to 89.07% (2009). Land use analysis is done using supervised classifier based on Gaussian maximum likelihood classifier reveals the decline of forests from 57.65% (1989) to 39.78% (2009). The study has related the changing land use to the changing land surface temperature at a temporal scale. Thermal signatures of different land use/land cover types in the study area helped to provide on their roles in contributing to heat phenomenon. Agro-climatic zone wise analysis illustrate that the extent vegetation in land use land cover has significant role in moderating the temperatures of a region. It is observed coastal and plain regions with lesser spatial extent of vegetation have higher temperatures than Sahyadri interior. The results indicate that there

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 7: Sahyadri region LST variations.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8: LST of plains.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9: Long term temperature trends.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is an increase of surface temperature from 32.7°C to 41°C. The three decadal trends in ambient air temperature also clarifies rise in temperature in recent time periods. If these changes are not addressed then there will be future drought to be occurring under warmer temperature conditions as climate change progresses, which triggers potential extent of drought-induced vegetation die-off. The results presented here suggest appropriate land use planning to mitigate the increase in temperatures by carefully crafting policies for managing anthropogenic forcing of the climate system.
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